UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/646,825	08/25/2003	Yoshinao Nagashima	240950US0DIV	1353
		01/29/2008 LAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.  GEMBEH, SHIRLEY V	INER	
1940 DUKE ST	TREET			HIRLEY V
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314		NEUSTADT, P.C.  GEMBEH, SHIRLEY V  ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	•			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		01/29/2008	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/646,825	NAGASHIMA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Shirley V. Gembeh	1614			
The MAILING Period for Reply	DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHICHEVER IS LO  - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS fro  - If NO period for reply is sp.  - Failure to reply within the Any reply received by the	ATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY NGER, FROM THE MAILING DA available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 m the mailing date of this communication. ecified above, the maximum statutory period w set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Office later than three months after the mailing ment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim  iill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. C (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to	communication(s) filed on 14 De	ecember 2006.				
2a)⊠ This action is	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in acco	rdance with the practice under E.	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the abo 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>20</u> is. 7) ☑ Claim(s) <u>21-2</u>	/are rejected.	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers						
10) The drawing(s Applicant may r Replacement d	on is objected to by the Examiner ifiled on is/are: a) acceptor request that any objection to the crawing sheet(s) including the correction claration is objected to by the Examiner.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References C	ited (PTO-892)	4)	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's	s Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

The response filed **11/07/07** presents remarks and arguments to the office action mailed **8/20/07**. Applicants' request for reconsideration of the rejection of claims in the last office action has been considered.

Applicants' arguments, filed, have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous office actions are hereby withdrawn. The following rejections and/or objections are either reiterated or newly applied. They constitute the complete set presently being applied to the instant application.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

#### Status of Claims

Claims 20-24 are pending in this office action and claim 20 is amended.

### Claim Objections

Claims 21-24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Applicant argues Feist et al. do not teach a sesquiterpene, but rather a sesquimer and that the example 5 of the Feist makes clear that sesquiterpenes are different from sesquimers.

Careful consideration has been given to Applicant's argument and found not persuasive because Eugenol is taught in the prior art, and is taught to have no odor, whether it is a sesquimer or a sesquiterpene would not change because the reference preferred calling or naming it sesquimer. As to the reference to example 5, as clearly depicted, the Eugenol is in the alcohol form is taught as an alcohol, see Example 5, col. 10, line 45. Therefore suggesting that the eugenol has the property of a sesquiterpene contrary to Applicant's assertion. Further, Example 5 is to a mixture of components to form a pharmaceutical composition.

Claim 20 is directed to the vaporization of eugenol with no odor. That is taught, whereby the eugenol is distilled with no odor detected. Thus the claims read on the prior art of record. The rejection is therefore maintained and repeated.

Claim 20 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Feist et al., US 4,659,493.

As required by instant claim 20, Feist et al. teach a distillation of a sesquimer (see col. 5, lines 51-55) that has no detectable odor (see col. 6, lines 8-9), wherein the sesquima is eugenol.

Thus one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use a sesquimer such as eugenol in a vaporization system because distillation is a form of vaporization that is used with heat. In view of Feist teaching, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use the compound in a vaporization system and would have expect the composition to have no smell. The reference teaches no smell is detectable (see col. 6, lines 8-9).

Application/Control Number: 10/646,825 Page 4

Art Unit: 1614

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shirley V. Gembeh whose telephone number is 571-272-8504. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 -5:00, Monday- Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin Marschel can be reached on 571-272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

ARDIN H. MARSCHEL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Application/Control Number: 10/646,825

Art Unit: 1614

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Page 5

SVG 01/07/08